

management consultants & project managers

## PROPOSED MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT 181 JAMES RUSE DRIVE, CAMELLIA

**FLOOD IMPACT STUDY** 

SEPTEMBER 2014

t: +61 2 9906 8611 f: +61 2 9906 7318 level 4 10-12 clarke street crows nest nsw 2065 australia po box 1060 crows nest nsw 1585 australia www.npc.com.au



national project consultants pty ltd abn 40 084 004 160



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introdu	ntroduction									
1. 2		3									
2.	Site De	escriptio		3							
3.	Propos	ed Dev	elopment	3							
4.	Flood (	Charact	eristics	4							
5.	Flood I	mpacts		4							
6.	. Council's Floodplain Risk Management Policy										
	6.1	4									
	6.2	5									
	6.3	Allow	5								
		6.3.1	Flood Level	5							
		6.3.2	Building Components	6							
		6.3.3	Structural Soundness	6							
		6.3.4	Flood Affectation	6							
		6.3.5	Car Parking and Driveway Access	6							
		6.3.6	Evacuation	7							
		6.3.7	Management and Design	7							
7.	. Section 117 Directions										
	7.1	7									
	7.2	7.2 Planning Proposal Provisions (4)									
	7.3	Rezoning Land (5)									
	7.4	Provis	8								
	7.5	Flood Related Development Controls Above Flood Planning Level (7)									
	7.6	.6 Flood Planning Level (8)		8							
	7.7	9									
	7.8	9									
8	Conclu	sions	,	9							

#### FIGURES

- Figure 1 Locality Plan
- Figure 2 Development Layout
- Figure 3 Development cross section showing flood flow under building
- Figure 4 100yr Flood Extent on Site
- Figure 5 100yr Flood Level Impacts

Appendix A Council's Floodplain Planning Matrix



#### 1. INTRODUCTION

A former industrial site fronting the Parramatta River at 181 James Ruse Drive Camellia is proposed for redevelopment for a high rise mixed use development.

A planning proposal submitted in a gateway process with the Department of Planning and Environment has been approved subject to the provision of additional information.

One aspect of additional information requested was "A flood impact assessment including external flood impacts and consideration of the Parramatta City Council Floodplain Risk Management Policy". There is also a need to verify that the proposal conforms to the 117 Directions Section 4.3 Flood Prone Land.

This report details this flood impact assessment.

#### 2. SITE DESCRIPTION

The site has an area of approximately 6.8ha and is bounded on the northern side by Parramatta River, on the western side by James Ruse Drive, on the eastern side by the Carlingford rail line and on the southern side by Tasman Street (see Figure 1). It also has a long handle heading south along the rail line to provide access to the Camellia rail station.

The site ground levels vary from around RL 3.6m AHD at the river foreshore and up to RL 5.8m at the southern boundary.

The subject site is part of the Camellia: 21<sup>st</sup> Century Business, Industry and Entertainment Precinct which the Department of Planning and Environment and Parramatta Council consider to be one of the most important employment precincts ...with great strategic value....and opportunities for future development.

#### 3. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed high rise development consists of basement carpark, 13,180m<sup>2</sup> GFA retail floor space on a podium level at RL 6.5m AHD and approximately 2845 apartments above (see Figure 2). The minimum residential (habitable) floor level would be RL 8.0m AHD. The internal road system would be located above the basement at a level of RL 6.5m AHD. The basement would be common to all the apartment buildings and would have a vehicle entry ramp crest at or above the 100yr ARI flood level plus 0.5m freeboard.

The proposed development over the northern portion of the development has been formulated to allow the 100yr flood flows to pass under the building and ensuring that there was no loss of flood storage over the site. The lowest flood level in this area would be RL 6.5m AHD which is approximately 1.75m above the predicted 100yr floor level (refer to Figure 3). The basement extent would be setback southwards to permit unobstructed flood conveyance.

The existing ground surface would be lowered in this area to ensure no loss of flood storage. The Mott Macdonald flood impact assessment (refer Section 4) indicated that in fact approximately 2,000m<sup>3</sup> of additional flood storage would be created on the site.



#### 4. FLOOD CHARACTERISTICS

Flood modelling has been undertaken for the proposed development by Mott Macdonald (August 2012). This modelling adopted the Council's flood model flows in the river, Vineyard Creek and Clay Cliff Creek immediately upstream from the James Ruse Road bridge across the river.

A flood enquiry application derived the following flood levels for the site:

•	20yr	RL 4.14m AHD
•	100yr	RL 4.75m AHD
•	PMF	RL 8.99m AHD

The 100yr flood inundates part of the site up to RL 4.75m. This represents approximately 55% of the site as depicted on Figure 4. The southern portion of the site is not inundated by the 100yr flood.

Even though the whole site is not inundated in the 100yr flood, Council has identified the entire site as High Flood Risk in their Floodplain Risk Management Policy. This risk category does not apply to that part of the site not inundated in the 100yr flood which would be classified as Low Flood Risk. Also the landward component of the inundated area would be shallow/low velocity areas and categorised as flood fringe or flood storage which would have a Medium Flood Risk.

So, the site would contain all three categories of flood risk.

#### 5. FLOOD IMPACTS

The Mott Macdonald flood assessment used the TUFLOW model to assess the impacts of the proposed development on flood levels upstream and downstream of the site.

The flood assessment concluded that there would not be significant increases in flood levels external to the site (refer to Figure 5).

The potential for cumulative impacts is negligible as well because the proposed development does not reduce the flood storage. In fact, it increases the flood storage available on the site.

#### 6. COUNCIL'S FLOODPLAIN RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY

#### 6.1 Policy Intent

This policy has been formulated based on the NSW Floodplain Development Manual. The Manual has two main objectives:-

- to ensure a merit based assessment; and
- to not unnecessarily sterilise land from development.

The Council has complied with the requirements of the Manual and prepared a Floodplain Risk Management Plan for Parramatta River. This Plan and the Policy rely upon a matrix involving flood risk, landuses and performance requirements.



#### 6.2 Development Potential

The flood risks on the site consist of all three categories, namely high, medium and low. The most restrictive risk for development is high although the Policy adopts a merit based approach in this risk area. The Policy indicates that the high risk area has the potential for high flood damages, potential risk to life and evacuation problems. However, the Policy recognises that some developments can overcome these potential problems and if this is the case, then the land should not be sterilised from development.

The proposed development of reinforced concrete podiums and apartment buildings with a minimum floor level of RL 6.5m AHD (1.75m above the 100yr flood level) and capable of withstanding flood flow forces would ensure no significant flood damages. Hence, the development removes the flooding as a concern in the consideration of allowable development.

The proposed development will not pose a significant flood risk to people's lives because the:-

- podium level would be at RL 6.5m AHD which is 1.75m above the 100yr flood level;
- minimum habitable floor (residential) level at RL 8m AHD would be 3.25m above the 100yr flood level;
- vertical evacuation available to upper levels readily above the PMF flood level of RL 8.99m AHD; and;
- building would not incur any significant damage during a severe flood.

The proposed development would not impose significant evacuation difficulties because:-

- the minimum podium or concourse level for pedestrian access would be at RL 6.5m AHD (1.75m above the 100yr flood level);
- vehicular access to basements would have entry crests at the 100yr flood level plus 0.5m freeboard which conforms to Council requirements;
- evacuation will be available south along James Ruse Drive to the accommodation district near the top of the hill at Weston St (above the PMF level); and
- as a fallback, vertical evacuation will be available in the apartment towers.

Therefore, the proposed development would appropriately deal with the potential problems of flood damage, risk to peoples lives and evacuation.

#### 6.3 Allowable Development

Due to the proposed form of the development (as described in Section 6.2), the flood related performance measures from the Floodplain Matrix in the Policy (refer Appendix A) are discussed in the following sections. In particular, the discussion is focused on how the proposed development complies with the performance measure or standard.



#### 6.3.1 Flood Level

The performance measure requires a minimum habitable floor level of RL 5.25m AHD (100yr flood level plus 0.5m). The development readily complies with this (minimum habitable floor level is RL 8m AHD).

In accordance with the performance measure, a restriction would be placed on the title of the land, pursuant to S.88B of the Conveyancing Act confirming that the subfloor elevated above the flood level along the river frontage will not be enclosed.

#### 6.3.2 Building Components

All structures below the level RL 5.2m AHD will have flood compatible materials/components. This will generally consist of reinforced concrete.

#### 6.3.3 Structural Soundness

An engineers report will be provided prior to the issue of the construction certificate certifying that the structure can withstand the forces of floodwater, debris, and buoyancy up to and including a 100yr flood plus 0.5m freeboard.

#### 6.3.4 Flood Affectation

An engineers report will be provided to certify that the development will not increase flood affectation elsewhere having regard to:-

- i) Loss of flood storage;
- ii) Changes in flood levels, flows and velocities;
- iii) The cumulative impact of multiple potential developments in the vicinity.

#### 6.3.5 Car Parking and Driveway Access

The proposed development would have vehicular entry crests to the basement carparks at or above RL 5.25m AHD which is the 100yr flood plus 0.5m freeboard.

The level of the road providing access to the carparking entry would be above the 100yr flood level and hence above the minimum level which is 0.2m below the 100yr flood level.

The basement carpark would have adequate flood warning systems, signage, exits and evacuation routes.

The podium level would be 1.75m above the 100yr flood and as such, there would be no need for restraints or barriers to prevent vehicles floating away in a 100yr flood.



#### 6.3.6 Evacuation

Evacuation is available both on site (vertical) or offsite (south along James Ruse Drive) to areas above PMF levels.

The development is consistent with the flood evacuation strategy for the local area.

There would be adequate flood warning for the evacuation procedure. This procedure would be based on either relocation south along James Ruse Drive and if this is not available, then vertical evacuation in the buildings. The PMF flood would only influence the first level of apartments requiring only a small number of people to be evacuated.

#### 6.3.7 Management and Design

The proposed development would not be inundated in useable areas in the 100yr flood. As such, a Site Emergency Response Flood Plan is not required.

No materials are to be stored below the 100yr flood level. Areas below this level are the basement carparks which are protected from the 100yr flood. As such, material storage in the basement carparks would be allowable.

#### 7. SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

Under Section 117(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, directions are issued to planning authorities. Section 4.3 of these directions relates to Flood Prone Land. The discussion below demonstrates how the Parramatta City Council and the proposed planning proposal for the subject site conforms to the Flood Prone Land Section 117 Directions.

#### 7.1 Objectives

The objectives of this Direction are:-

- a) to ensure that development of flood prone land is consistent with the NSW Government's Flood Prone Land Policy and the principles of the Floodplain Development Manual 2005; and
- b) to ensure that the provision of an LEP on flood prone land is commensurate with flood hazard and includes consideration of the potential flood impacts both on and off the subject land.

Parramatta City Council has undertaken definition of flood behaviour, hazard and an appropriate planning response which conforms to the NSW government Flood Prone Land Policy and the Floodplain Development Manual 2005. Similarly, the Parramatta LEP, DCP and Flood Policy includes appropriate provisions to deal with flood hazard and potential impacts.



#### 7.2 Planning Proposal Provisions (4)

The planning proposal has been formulated to be consistent with the Parramatta City Council flood prone land requirements which have been formulated to be consistent with the NSW Government Flood Prone Land Policy and the Floodplain Development Manual (2005).

#### 7.3 Rezoning Land (5)

The proposed rezoning of the subject property is from B5 – Business Development to a Mixed Use zoning. This rezoning is allowed under the Direction.

#### 7.4 Provisions Not Allowed (6)

- a) no development is permitted in a floodway.
   The planning proposal does not propose development in a floodway. The definition of a floodway is that there would be significant obstruction and changes to flood flows and levels if a structure was placed in it. This is not the case as the proposed development will not have any significant adverse impact on flood behaviour.
- b) no significant flood impacts on other properties
   The flood impact assessment demonstrates that the proposal would not have significant adverse impact on flooding of other properties.
- not permit significant increase in the development of that land
   The proposal permits development on the land that would conform to the LEP/DCP requirements and in particular, conforms to the flood planning requirements.
- d) not substantially increase the requirement for government spending on flood mitigation measures, infrastructure or services

The proposal incorporates a structure which would withstand flood forces without any significant damage. Also, the proposal would incorporate an independent flood emergency response plan which would not rely on any government resources. The proposal therefore would not put any significant reliance on increased government spending on flood related resources.

e) not permit development without consent
 This proposal does not permit development without consent other than as exempted in these Directions.

#### 7.5 Flood Related Development Controls Above Flood Planning Level (7)

The proposal would not need to impose flood related development controls above the flood planning level (100yr flood level plus 0.5m freeboard).

#### 7.6 Flood Planning Level (8)

Parramatta City Council has determined a flood planning level which conforms with the Floodplain Development Manual (2005). This level is the 100yr flood level plus 0.5m freeboard.



#### 7.7 Consistency (9)

The planning proposal can be inconsistent with this Direction if it is consistent with the Parramatta City Council floodplain risk management plan which has been prepared in accordance with the principles and guidelines of the Floodplain Development Manual 2005.

#### 7.8 Summary

The planning proposal complies with the 117 Directions Section 4.3 Flood Prone Land.

#### 8. CONCLUSIONS

The proposed development would not cause significant adverse impacts on 100yr flood levels and would be able to comply with the Council's Local Floodplain Risk Management Policy. The proposal conforms to the Section 117 Directions Section 4.3 Flood Prone Land requirements.



## **FIGURES**

## **FIGURE 1**





## FIGURE 2

## PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT LAYOUT



## TYPICAL SECTION THROUGH THE **DEVELOPMENT - NORTH TO SOUTH**

**FIGURE 3** 



ISSUE	DRAWN BY	CHECKED	AUTHORISED				
А	AH	GL	CA				

Copyright: This drawing remains the property of Mott MacDonald Australia. It may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the terms of engagement for that commission. Mott MacDonald Australia denies any liability or responsibility for loss or damage caused by the inappropriate use of this drawing. Note: This drawing may have been prepared using colour and may be incomplete if copied to black and white.

## 308388-CIV-FL-SK-001

CAMELLIA WEST FLOOD MODELLING

### PRE-DEVELOPMENT 100 YEAR ARI FLOOD EXTENTS





ISSUE	DRAWN BY	AUTHORISED				
В	AH	GL	CA			

Copyright: This drawing remains the property of Mott MacDonald Australia. It may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the terms of engagement for that commission. Mott MacDonald Australia denies any liability or responsibility for loss or damage caused by the inappropriate use of this drawing. Note: This drawing may have been prepared using colour and may be incomplete if copied to black and white.

# 308388-CIV-FL-SK-006

CAMELLIA WEST FLOOD MODELLING

**POST-DEVELOPMENT** 

Mott MacDonald

**100 YEAR ARI DEPTH DIFFERENCE** 



## **APPENDIX A**

**Council's Floodplain Planning Matrix** 

### LOCAL FLOODPLAIN RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY

#### FLOODPLAIN MATRIX

Planning & Development Controls																											
Flood Risk Precincts (FRP's)																											
Low Flood Risk									Med	ium	Flo	od	Ris	K	_			Hig	gh F	loo	d Ri	sk					
Planning Consideration	Sensitive Uses & Facilities	Critical Uses & Facilities	Subdivision	Filling	Residential *	Commercial & Industrial	Tourist Related Development	Open Space & Non-Urban	Concessional Development	Sensitive Uses & Facilities	Critical Uses & Facilities	Subdivision	Filling	Residential *	Commercial & Industrial	Tourist Related Development	Open Space & Non-Urban	Concessional Development	Sensitive Uses & Facilities	Critical Uses & Facilities	Subdivision	Filling	Residential *	Commercial & Industrial	Tourist Related Development	Open Space & Non-Urban	Concessional Development
Floor Level		3			2, 5	2, 5	2, 5							2, 5	2, 5	2, 5	1, 5	4, 5								1, 5	4, 5
Building Components		2									1			1	1	1	1	1								1	1
Structural Soundness		2									1			1	1	1	1	1								1	1
Flood Affectation		2	2	1	2	2	2					1		1	1	1	2	1								1	1
Car Parking & Driveway Access		1, 3, 5, 6			1, 3, 5, 6	1, 3, 5, 6	1, 3, 5, 6	2, 4, 6, 7						1, 3, 5, 6, 7	1, 3, 5, 6, 7	1, 3, 5, 6, 7	2, 4, 6, 7	1, 5								2, 4, 6, 7	1, 5
Evacuation		2, 4, 6	5		3, 4	4	4					5, 3, 4		3, 4, 6	3, 4, 6	3, 4, 6	1, 4	3, 6								1, 4	3, 4, 6
Management & Design		2, 3, 4	1									1		2, 3, 4	2, 3, 4	2, 3, 4	2, 3, 4	2, 3, 4								2, 3, 4	2, 3,
		Not Rele	evant		Unsi	uitable	landl	lse		* For	redeve	lonme	ntofa	n exist	ina dw	ellina ı	efer al	so to '	Conce	ssional	Deve	lonmei	nt' prov	/isions		7	
Floor Level			, runt		0.100	indbio	Lana				louoro	iopino.			g un	og.	0.01 0.		001100	bolonia	2010	opinoi	n pro				
1 All floor levels to be equ	ual to	or gre	ater tl	han th	ne 20	year /	ARI flo	ood le	e <i>vel</i> pl	us fre	eboar	rd.															
2 Habitable floor levels to	be e	equal to	or gi	reater	than	the 1	00 yea	ar AR	l floo	d leve	<i>l</i> plus	freeb	oard.														
<ul> <li>All floor levels to be equal</li> <li>Floor levels to be equal</li> <li>compatibility with the flo</li> <li>floor level is to be as high</li> <li>A restriction is to be pla</li> <li>finished ground level, co</li> </ul>	to or for le gh as iced o onfirr	o or gre r greate evel of e o praction on the the ming th	ater ti er that existir cal, at title of at the	han the ng buil nd, wl f the la e subfl	ne <i>Plv</i> 100 y Idings hen u and, p loor s	<i>rear A</i> s, or th nderta pursua pace	el plus RI floo ne nee aking ant to is not	od lev ed for altera S.88 to be	board vel plu acces itions B of th enclo	<i>I.</i> Is free ss for or add ne Col Dsed.	eboard perso ditions nveya	I. Whe ons wit s, no lo ncing	ere th th dis ower Act,	is is n abilitie than t where	ot pra es, a l he ex the le	ower isting	due t floor l floor <i>habit</i>	o con evel r level. able f	npatib nay b floor a	ility w e con: <i>rea</i> is	ith the sidere eleva	e heig ed. In ated n	ht of a these	adjace e circu han 1	ent bu imsta .5m a	uilding nces, Ibove	is, or the
Building Components	& IV	lethoo																									
1 All structures to have flo	ood c	compat	ible b	uildin	g corr	npone	nts be	elow th	he 10	0 yeai	r ARI	flood	evel	plus fi	reebo	ard.											
2 All structures to have the Structural Soundness	000 0	compat	d 91di	uilain	g corr	npone	nts de	elow ti	ne PI	//⊢.																	
1 Engineers report to cert	tify th	at the	struct	ure ca	an wit	hstan	d the	forces	s of flo	oodwa	ater, d	ebris	and b	ouoya	ncy up	o to a	nd inc	luding	g a 10	0 yea	r ARI	flood	plus	freebo	bard.		
2 Engineers report to cert	tify th	at the	struct	ure ca	an wit	hstan	d the	forces	s of flo	oodwa	ater, d	ebris	and b	ouoya	ncy up	o to a	nd inc	luding	g a PN	/IF lev	el.						
Flood Affectation																											
<ol> <li>Engineers report require flows and velocities cau</li> <li>The impact of the devia</li> </ol>	ed to ised	by alter	that t ration	the de is to fl	evelop ood fl	oment lows; ;	will n and (i	ot inc ii) the	rease cumi	flood ulative	impa	tation ict of r	elsev nultip	vhere le pot	, havii ential	ng reg deve	pard to lopme	o: (I) I ents ir sidor	oss of the v	f flood /icinity	stora /.	age; (i	i) cha	nges	in floo	od lev	els,
Car Parking and Drive	way	Acce	SS	ng cic				noide	icu ii	aving	regard				1013 11	Sicur		Sidere		abor							
The minimum surface level a	evel o shall	of open be as l	spac nigh a	ces or as pra	carpo ctical	orts sh , but r	nall be io low	e as h er tha	igh as an the	s prac 100 y	tical, b /ear A	out no RI flo	lowe od le	er than vel.	0.1m	n belo	w the	100 y	ear A	RI flo	od lev	/el. In	the c	ase o	f gara	ages,	the
Garages capable of acc	comn	nodatin	g mo	re tha	n 3 m	notor v	rpons	es on	land :	zones	for ur	ban p	urpo:	ses, o	r encl	osed	car pa	arking	, mus	t be p	rotec	ted fro	evei. om ini	undati	on by	/ flood	ls
equal to or greater than	the	100 ye	ar AR	l flood	d. Rai	mp lev	/els to	be n	o low	er tha	n 0.5r	n abo	ve th	e 100	year	ARI fl	ood le	evel.									
4 The driveway providing	acce	ess bet	ween	the ro	bad a	nd pa	rking :	space	es sha	all be a	as higi	h as p	oractio	cal an	d gen	erally	rising	in the	e egre			n. ovol					
<ul> <li>6 Enclosed car parking an signage, exits and evac</li> </ul>	nd ca	ar parki on route	ng are	eas a	ccom	moda	ting m	nore th	han 3	vehic	les, w	ith a f	loor b	pelow	the 10	00 yea	ar AR	l flood	l level	, shal	l have	e adec	quate	warni	ng sy	stems	з,
7 Restraints or vehicle ba	arriers	s to be	provi	ded to	o prev	ent flo	pating	vehic	cles le	eaving	a site	e durir	ng a 1	00 ye	ar AR	l floo	d.										
Evacuation																											
Reliable access for ped	lestria	ans rec	uired	i aurin	g a 2	U yea		peak	flood.	sible !	oooti c	n dur!	ng ++		Ence	k flor	4										
<ul> <li>Reliable access for ped</li> <li>Reliable access for ped</li> </ul>	lestri	ans and	d veh	icles i	s real	uired t	irom t	he sit	e to a	n area	a of re	n aun fune :	ng in above	e Pivil e the l	- pea PMF I	evel i	u. either	on sit	e (ea	Seco	nd st	orev)	or off	site			
4 Applicant to demonstrat	te the	e devel		ent is o	consis	stent	vith a	nv rel	evant	flood	evacu	uation	strat	eav o	r simil	ar pla	n.		.o (og	. 0000		0103)		0110.			
5 Applicant to demonstrat	te tha	at evac	uatior	n in ac	corda	ance	with th	ne req	uirem	nents (	of this	DCP	is av	ailable	e for t	he po	tentia	l deve	lopm	ent re	sultin	g fron	n the s	subdiv	/ision		
6 Adequate flood warning	j is a	vailable	e to al	llow s	afe ar	nd ord	erly e	vacua	ation	withou	ut incr	eased	l relia	nce u	pon S	ES o	r othe	r auth	orised	d eme	rgeno	cy ser	vices	perso	nnel.		
Management and Desi	ign																										
Applicant to demonstrat     Site Emergency Response	te tha nse F	at poter	ntial d Ian re		pmer d whe	nt as a	cons	eque	nce o	t a sul	bdivisi	ion pr	oposa	al can	be ur	nderta	iken ii t for s	n acco ingle	ordani dwelli	ce wit	h this	the re	elevar	nt FRI	//S ar	nd FR	MP
3 Applicant to demonstrat	te tha	at area	is ava	ailable	e to st	ore g	one oods a	above	the f	100 ye	ear flo	od lev	el plu	is free	eboard	d											·
4 No storage of materials	belo	ow the 1	100 ye	ear Al	RI floo	od lev	el.																				
Notes	l hair	ht of For	)																								
<ul> <li>i. <i>Preepoard</i> equals an additional neight of 500mm.</li> <li>ii. The relevant environmental planning instruments (generally the Local Environmental Plan) identify development permissible with consent in various zones in the LGA. Notwithstanding, constraints specific to individual sites may preclude Council granting consent for certain forms of development on all or part of a site. The above matrix identifies where flood risks are likely to determine where certain development on all or part of a site. The above matrix identifies where flood risks are likely to determine where certain development on all or part of a site.</li> </ul>																											
iii. Filling of the site, where acce	ptable	e to Cou	ncil, m	ay cha	inge th	e FRP	consi	dered	to dete	rmine	the cor	ntrols a	pplied	l in the	circun	nstanc	es of ir	ndividu	al appl	ication	s.						
iv. Any fencing that forms part of	f a pro	posed o	levelo	pment	is sub	ject to	the rel	evant I	Flood I	Effects	and St	tructura	al Sou	ndnes	s plann	ing co	nsider	ations	of the a	applica	ible lar	nd use	catego	ory.			

v. Development within the floodplain may be subject to the Foreshore Building Line objectives of the LEP and REP
 vi. Terms in italics are defined in the glossary of this policy. Development types are specified in each land use category. These development types are generally as defined within Environmental Planning Instruments applying to the local government area.